

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/875,209

Applicant(s)

BROWN ET AL.

Examiner

Sunil Singh

Art Unit

3673

**All Participants:**(1) Sunil Singh.(2) Dwight U. Thompson.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 June 2006**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The following changes were agreed upon: claim 9 line 14 to change "wherein the" to --a-- and "includes" to --including--, to overcome 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)